

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/765,151	GONZALES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anu Ramana	3733	

**All Participants:**

(1) David E. Jefferies.

(2) Anu Ramana.

**Date of Interview:** 15 February 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:30 pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 25-27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor amendments to the specification and claims 1 and 25-27 were discussed and agreed to by Applicants' Representative, David E. Jefferies, to place the instant application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**EDUARDO C. ROBERT**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)